

**EXECUTIVE RESPONSE TO SPEED MANAGEMENT IN LINCOLNSHIRE SCRUTINY REVIEW –
ACTION PLAN ARISING FROM RECOMMENDATIONS**

Recommendation	Recommendation Accepted? Yes/No	Initial Response	Action	Timescale	Who
1. That the criteria for identifying a settlement contained within the existing Speed Limit Policy should be maintained.	Yes	Noted. This will be included within the revised Speed Limit Policy.	The existing Speed Limit Policy will be revised and brought back to the Highways and Transport Scrutiny Committee for consideration.	April 2015	Andy Wharff/ Graeme Butler
2. That the current gaps within lengths of development provision contained within the existing Speed Limit Policy should be maintained.	Yes	Noted. This provision will be included within the revised Speed Limit Policy.	This provision will be included within the revised Speed Limit Policy.	April 2015	Andy Wharff / Graeme Butler
3. That the borderline cases provision contained in the current Speed Limit Policy should be amended so that if the length and number of development units fronting the road under review is within 20% (currently 10%) of that	Partly	Noted. This recommendation will be investigated further and the results and possible options reported to the Highways and Transport Scrutiny Committee for further consideration.	This element of the policy will be considered further and brought back to the Highways and Transport Scrutiny Committee for consideration. Once agreed, this provision can be included within the revised policy.	December 2014	Andy Wharff / Graeme Butler

Recommendation	Recommendation Accepted? Yes/No	Initial Response	Action	Timescale	Who
<p>required or that the mean speed data falls within +/- 3mph (currently +/- 1mph) of the Mean Speed Limit Table these are classed as borderline cases. Under these circumstances any requests to change the speed limit are considered by the Planning and Regulation Committee. The local member should continue to be consulted on these cases prior to consideration at the Committee.</p> <p>If this recommendation is accepted that it is reviewed after six months after its implementation to ensure that the parameters are set at the correct level.</p>					

Recommendation	Recommendation Accepted? Yes/No	Initial Response	Action	Timescale	Who
4. That a menu of options for those sites which have speed issues but which are not appropriate for a lowering of the speed limit, e.g. bends and junctions, be developed.	Yes	Noted. Options will be developed and included within the revised Speed Limit Policy.	A menu of options will be developed following discussions with appropriate officers and the Lincolnshire Road Safety Partnership and brought back to the Highways and Transport Scrutiny Committee for consideration. Once agreed, these options can be included within the revised policy.	April 2015	Andy Wharff / Graeme Butler
5. That the current 20mph speed limit provision contained within the existing Speed Limit Policy should be maintained and that any requests for a 20mph speed limit should be assessed on a case by case basis.	Yes	Noted. This provision will be included within the revised Speed Limit Policy document.	This provision will continue to be included within the revised Speed Limit Policy.	April 2015	Andy Wharff / Graeme Butler
6. That a toolkit is developed for consideration of any	Yes	Noted. A toolkit will be investigated and developed and included	A toolkit will be developed to include options to address speed and parking issues to	April 2015	Andy Wharff / Graeme Butler

Recommendation	Recommendation Accepted? Yes/No	Initial Response	Action	Timescale	Who
requests for school safety measures. This should detail a menu of options for possible solutions to address specific issues at individual sites and include a flowchart which explains the process to reach the most appropriate solution.		within a new Speed Management Strategy.	cater for specific sites and brought back to the Highways and Transport Scrutiny Committee for consideration. Once agreed, the toolkit will be included as part of the new Speed Management Strategy.		
7. That when school safety measures are implemented, the school works in partnership with the County Council to support the work being done on speed management, including reviewing regularly their School Travel Plan.	Yes	Noted. This can be developed further and options included within the new Speed Management Strategy.	Options to address this specific issue will be discussed further with Road Safety Officers and will be included within the toolkit of options for school sites.	April 2015	Lincolnshire Road Safety Partnership. Andy Wharff/ Graeme Butler
8. That the County Council develop an overarching Speed	Yes	Noted. The various documents can be collated and included within this	Officers will collate all of the various policies together under one overarching	June 2015	Andy Wharff / Graeme Butler

Recommendation	Recommendation Accepted? Yes/No	Initial Response	Action	Timescale	Who
Management Strategy which incorporates all elements of speed management including speed limits and school safety measures.		strategy.	strategy.		
9. That the Community Speed Watch scheme is endorsed and that it is included within the proposed Speed Management Strategy as a self-help tool for local communities in managing speeding issues.	Yes	Noted. This can be included within the toolkit and developed further and be included as part of the Speed Management Strategy.	Officers in the Road Safety Partnership will lead on this specific project, but it will also be included within the toolkit of options within the Speed Management Strategy.	Ongoing	Lincolnshire Road Safety Partnership